

High-level error messages for modules through diffing

Florian ANGELETTI

Inria

`florian.angeletti@inria.fr`

Gabriel RADANNE

Inria

`gabriel.radanne@inria.fr`

```
module String_map = Map.Make(String)(String)
```

```
module String_map = Map.Make(String)(String)
```

Error: This module is not a functor; it has type

```
sig
```

```
  type key = String.t
```

```
  type 'a t = 'a Map.Make(String).t
```

```
  val empty : 'a t
```

```
  val is_empty : 'a t -> bool
```

```
  val mem : key -> 'a t -> bool
```

```
  val add : key -> 'a -> 'a t -> 'a t
```

```
  val update : key -> ('a option -> 'a option) -> 'a t -> 'a t
```

```
  val singleton : key -> 'a -> 'a t
```

```
  ...(elided for the slide)
```

```
end
```

```
module String_map = Map.Make(String)(String)
```

Error: The functor application is ill-typed.

These arguments:

```
String String
```

do not match these parameters:

```
functor (Ord : Map.OrderedType) -> ...
```

1. Module String matches the expected module type Map.OrderedType

2. The following extra argument is provided

```
String : ...(elided)
```

Theory: Most complex part of the language

Practice: Shallow hierarchy of submodules, a handful of functors with few arguments

A typical example

A common example of functors (such as Ocamlgraph)

```
module Graph(Vertex:VERTEX)(Edge:EDGE) = struct ... end
```

Common errors

- Unnecessary argument

```
module G = Graph(Label)(Vertex)(Edge)
```

- Forgotten argument:

```
module G = Graph(Edge)
```

- Wrong argument:

```
module G = Graph(Label)(Edge)
```

All those errors are frequent during refactorings.

Classical error messages consider only the last alternative:

```
module G = Graph(Label)(Vertex)(Edge)
```

Error: Signature mismatch:

Modules do not match:

```
sig type t = string end
```

is not included in

```
VERTEX
```

The value `label' is required but not provided

The value `create' is required but not provided

The type `label' is required but not provided

The value `equal' is required but not provided

The value `hash' is required but not provided

The value `compare' is required but not provided

A little bit of perspective

For errors, we need to keep the *multi-application* context

Consider the arguments as two lists:

```
Graph(Label)(Vertex)(Edge)
```

```
module Graph(Vertex: VERTEX)(Edge: EDGE) = ...
```

use diffing between lists or strings: addition, deletion, or change.

Unnecessary argument

```
module G=Graph(Label)(Vertex)(Edge)
```

Error: The functor application is ill-typed.

These arguments:

```
Label Vertex Edge
```

do not match these parameters:

```
functor (Vertex : VERTEX) (Edge : EDGE) -> ...
```

1. The following extra argument is provided
Label : sig type t = string end
2. Module Vertex matches the expected module type VERTEX
3. Module Edge matches the expected module type EDGE

Missing argument

```
module G=Graph(Edge)
```

Error: The functor application is ill-typed.

These arguments:

Edge

do not match these parameters:

```
functor (Vertex : VERTEX) (Edge : EDGE) -> ...
```

1. An argument appears to be missing with module type VERTEX
2. Module Edge matches the expected module type EDGE

Wrong argument

```
module G=Graph(Label)(Edge)
```

Error: The functor application is ill-typed.

These arguments:

Label Edge

do not match these parameters:

functor **(Vertex : VERTEX)** (Edge : EDGE) -> ...

1. Modules do not match:

Label : sig type t = string end

is not included in

VERTEX

...(elided for the slide)

2. Module Edge matches the expected module type EDGE

How does this work?

Error classes seen this far: Addition, Deletion, Change

Those are classical errors in string diffing

String diffing is everywhere: spellchecking, fuzzy search, control version, DNA sequence matching, ...

String diffing – details

Typical operations:

- Addition: A B C D
- Deletion: A B ~~C~~ D
- Change: A B ~~F~~^C D
- Swap: A B C D
↔

Well-studied topic:

- many distances: Levenstein, LCS, Hamming, ...
- many algorithms: Wagner-Fischer, Myers diff, Hirschberg's algorithm, ...

String diffing – details

Typical operations:

- **Addition:** A B C D
- **Deletion:** A B ~~C~~ D
- **Change:** A B ~~F~~^C D
- **Swap:** A B C D
↔

Well-studied topic:

- many distances: Levenstein, LCS, Hamming, ...
- many algorithms: Wagner-Fischer, Myers diff, Hirschberg's algorithm, ...

String diffing – details

Typical operations:

- **Addition:** A B C D
- **Deletion:** A B ~~C~~ D
- **Change:** A B ~~F~~^C D
- **Swap:** A B C D
↔

Well-studied topic:

- many distances: **Levenstein**, LCS, Hamming, ...
- many algorithms: **Wagner-Fischer**, Myers diff, Hirschberg's algorithm, ...

Wagner-Fischer illustrated

		A	B	X	D	E
0	0	1	2	3	4	5
B	1	2	1	2	3	3
C	2	3	2	2	3	3
D	3	4	3	3	2	3

The diagram shows a dynamic programming table for the Wagner-Fischer algorithm. The columns represent the characters A, B, X, D, and E. The rows represent the characters B, C, and D. The top-left cell (0,0) is 0. The first row (0) contains values 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. The first column (B) contains values 1, 2, 3. The diagonal path from (0,0) to (4,5) is highlighted with red arrows and bold numbers: (0,0) to (0,1) is 1; (0,1) to (1,2) is 1; (1,2) to (2,3) is 2; (2,3) to (3,4) is 2; (3,4) to (4,5) is 3. All other cells in the table are shown in a lighter gray color.

Dynamically-sized variant of the Wagner-Fischer algorithm for computing distance with addition-deletion-change

- Argument comparison: OCaml typechecker comparison used as a black box
- Complexity: $O(\max(|arguments|, |parameters|)^2)$
- implemented as a patch on the OCaml compiler:
<https://github.com/ocaml/ocaml/pull/9331>

Unreasonably complicated error

```
module A = Seq module A' = Complex
module B = Option module B' = Bigarray
module C = Result module C' = Bool
module D = Char module D' = Uchar
module E = Sys module E' = List
module F = Bytes module F' = Map.Make
module G = String module G' = Unit
module H = Marshal module H' = Obj
```

Unreasonably complicated error

```
module Little_functor =  
  (A: module type of A) (B: module type of B)  
  (C: module type of C) (D: module type of D)  
  (E: module type of E) (F: module type of F)  
  (G: module type of G) (H: module type of H)  
= struct end
```

Unreasonably complicated error

```
module E = Little_functor(A)(A)(B)(C')(E)(F')(G)(H)(H')
```

These arguments:

```
A A B C' E F' G H H'
```

do not match these parameters:

```
functor (A : ...) (B : ...) (C : ... (C)) (D : ... (D)) (E : ...)
(F : ... (F)) (G : ...) (H : ...) -> ...
```

1. Module A matches the expected module type
2. The following extra argument is provided: ...(elided)
3. Module B matches the expected module type
4. Modules do not match: C' : ...(elided)
5. An argument appears to be missing ...(elided)
6. Module E matches the expected module type
7. Modules do not match: F' ...(elided)
8. Module G matches the expected module type
9. Module H matches the expected module type
10. The following extra argument is provided H' : ...(elided)

Dependent functors?

```
module type f =  
  functor  
    (B:sig type x type y type u=x type v=y end)  
    (Y:sig type yu = Y of B.u end)  
    (Z:sig type zv = Z of B.v end)  
  -> sig end  
module F: f =  
  functor  
    (X:sig type x type y end)  
    (Z:sig type zv = Z of X.y end)  
  -> struct end
```

Dependent functors?

Error: Signature mismatch:

Modules do not match:

```
functor (X : ... (X)) (Z : ... (Z)) -> ...
```

is not included in

```
functor (B : ... (B)) (Y : ... (Y)) (Z : ... (Z)) -> ...
```

1. Module types ... (X) and ... (B) match
2. An argument appears to be missing with module type

```
... (Y) = sig type yu = Y of B.u end
```

3. Module types ... (Z) and ... (Z) match

Dynamically-sized?

Functors are complicated, they can be variadic in OCaml:

```
module F(X:sig
  module type t
  module M:t
end) = X.M
module Ft = struct
  module M = F
  module type t = module type of F
end
```

```
F(Ft)(Ft)(Ft)(Ft)...
```

Our modified Wagner-Fischer algorithm handles those cases (and yet always terminates).

What about swaps?

Hard to present well in text output.

We may consider a subcase where all arguments are here:

```
Graph (Edge) (Vertex)
```

Hypothetical error message:

```
The functor Arguments appears to be in the wrong order.
```

```
Did you mean Graph(Vertex)(Edge)?
```

Does this scale?

A question of performances?

- Quadratic module comparisons
- Errors are for human. Human are slow.
- Which effect matters?

A not that small functor

- A 26 argument functor, with all stdlib modules involved

These arguments:

A A B C' E F' G H H' I J L M N' O O' P R S T' U' V W W X Y Z Z

do not match these parameters:

```
functor (A : ...) (B : ...) (C : ... (C)) (D : ... (D)) (E : ...)
(F : ... (F)) (G : ...) (H : ...) (I : ...) (J : ...) (K : ... (K))
(L : ...) (M : ...) (N : ... (N)) (O : ...) (P : ...) (Q : ... (Q))
(R : ...) (S : ...) (T : ... (T)) (U : ... (U)) (V : ...) (W : ...)
(X : ...) (Y : ...) (Z : ...) -> ...
```

- 100 ms with ocamlpt

module M = F

(Ft) (Ft) (List) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (List) (Ft) (Ft) (List)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Arg) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Float) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Gc) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Map) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Seq) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Queue) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)
(Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft) (Ft)

300 ms later

These arguments:

Ft Ft **List** Ft Ft Ft **List** Ft Ft **List** Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft
Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft
Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft **Arg** Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft
Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft
Float Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft
Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft **Gc** Ft Ft Ft Ft
Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft
Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft **Map** Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft
Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft **Seq** Ft Ft Ft Ft
Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft
Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft **Queue** Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft
Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft
Bigarray Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft Ft

If you have existing code (before the 27 August 2020), with functors with more than ten arguments, we are offering a bounty of one drink.¹

¹Mirage-generated code does not count

Take-away

- Diffing for functor application and inclusion
- non-optimized implementation of the Wagner-Fischer

Future works

- Signature difference
 - ground work is already implemented
 - The user interface needs to be written.
- Moving to other structural types (in OCaml: objects, polymorphic variants)
- Tying everything together (tree diffing)

The End